JUN 1 9 2007

GOOVER THE COPY CHORIAL

Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary



To: FCC

Office of the Secretary

Fax number: 866-418-0232

Date: 6/18/2007

Regarding: Invoices for SPIN 143024226 FCC-FRN 0004355335

See letter Dated June 18, 2007

A facsimile from

Network Konnection Inc.

152 Rollins Ave., Suite 201 Rockville, MD 20852 **Anthony Bowlds** (301) 343-8566 webmaster@netkonnect.net

Comments:

We have been a business in Rockville Maryland since 1995. We have had so much of a problem with a government program called USAC that we almost have gone completely out of business. These program subsidies up to 90% of the schools and libraries Telecommunication and Internet Access services. We have been part of the program sense 2002. We have provided service for the schools for 2003, 2004. We have not received the government side of funding because they are still being appealed. We were approved for year 2004 on April 16, 2007 for past funding. I am sending a copy of our invoice request that was sent to USAC. USAC have been very hard on our company for these past years. I am sending you a copy because you are our representative for the District and we would like you to inquire on are company find out why are who inside USAC is giving our company such a hard time?

I would like to add additional 471 Application number File No SLD-486732 to an existing Request for Review File No SLD-378195 and File No-379856 is this possible?

Enclosed

FCC INFORMATION

Fax cover sheet to Congressman Van Hollen

Fax cover sheet to USAC

June 18, 2007 letter

Invoice to School for services provided

Check amount and date for services delivered and installed

Service Certification for SLD Invoices form

Email from S.L.D. Invoicing Department

Letter to FCC alleging prohibitive conduct by USAC Reviewers with attach email dated May 27, 2005 from Network Konnection to USAC.

02-6

No. of Copies rec'd List ABCDE

Close Window

Registration Detail

FRN: 0004355335

Registration Date: 04/17/2001 08:43:09 PM
Last Updated: 05/02/2007 11:05:00 PM
Business Name: Network Konnection Inc.

Business Type: Private Sector , Corporation

Contact Organization: Anthony R. Bowlds

Contact Position: Vice President

Contact Name: Mr Anthony R Bowlds

Contact Address: 152 Rollins Ave., Suite 201

Rockville, MD 20852

United States

Contact Email: webmaster@netkonnect.net

Contact Phone: (301) 343-8566

Contact Fax:



To: George Sotnyk

Fax number: 973-599-6569

A facsimile from

Network Konnection Inc.

152 Rollins Ave., Suite 201 Rockville, MD 20852 Anthony Bowlds (301) 343-8566 webmaster@netkonnect.net

Date: 6/18/2007

Regarding: SLD Invoice Number: 774205

Comments: See letter Dated June 18, 2007

Applicant Name: THE NEW SCHOOL FOR ENTERPRISE AND DEVELOPMENT

Service Provider Name: Network Konnection Inc.

Submitter Invoice Number: 2737

SLD Invoice Number: 774205

471:432226

Funding Request Number (FRN): 1202169

Undiscounted Amount: \$12,170.04

Discount amount: \$10,953.04

Submitter Invoice Number: 2737

SLD Invoice Number: 774205

471:432302

Funding Request Number (FRN): 1202032

Undiscounted Amount: \$9,900.00

Discount amount: \$8,910.00



To: Billing, Collection & Disbursement

Fax number: 888-641-8722 652-6226

Date: 6/18/2007

A facsimile from

Network Konnection Inc.

Anthony Bowlds (202) 439-2650

Regarding: Invoices

Comments: See letter Dated June 18, 2007

Subject: SLD Invoice Number: 774205 Submitter Invoice Number: 2737 frn

1202169

From: "Sotnyk, George" <GSOTNYK@sl.universalservice.org>

Date: Fri, June 8, 2007 4:44 pm
To: webmaster@netkonnect.net

Cc: "anthony bowlds@12027235758" <IMCEAFAX-

anthony+20bowlds+4012027235758@necaservices.com>

Priority: Normal

Network Konnection Inc.

152 Rollins Ave., Suite 201 Rockville MD 20852 (301) 343-8566

June 18, 2007

Gregory Sotnyk SLD Invoicing Department

Dear Mr. Sotnyk,

This letter is in response to your request for a Service Certification for SLD for The New School for Enterprise and Development, Entity Number 221227. I am not sure if the School and Libraries Division is aware that The New School for Enterprise and Development now closed. The school ceased operations in June 2006. The person charged with signing the Service Certification for SLD invoices is no longer an employee of the school. I am therefore providing proof that delivery and installation of service were performed and that the school paid their portion. Enclosed is Network Konnection invoices that were sent to the school, invoice amounts, check dates and copies of our bank statements that show the exact amount of the checks that were deposited into our bank account as proof of payment for services provided to the school.

Regards,

Anthony Bowlds

Vice President

CC: FCC, Office of the Secretary

Congressman, Chris Van Hollen, Maryland 8th District

Universal Service Administrative Company

Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, DC 20554

In the Matter of)
Requests for Review of the)
Decision of the)
Universal Service Administrator by)
The New School for Enterprise and Development PCS Washington, DC) File No SLD-378195
The New School for Enterprise and Development PCS Washington, DC) File No. SLD-379856
Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism) CC Docket No. 02-6

If a request for confidential treatment is clearly indicated on the first page of the filing, the staff at the filing counter will enclose the filing in a Commission envelope labeled "confidential."

We are requesting confidential treatment.

If you are alleging prohibitive conduct by a third party, there are additional rules for serving a copy on that third party and allowing them to respond. Consult 47 C.F.R. §54.721, which can be found in Title 47 of the <u>Code of Federal Regulations</u>.

We are alleging prohibitive conduct by USAC Reviewers.

Subject: RE: Initial Contact case 21-262709
From: sldnoreply@sl.universalservice.org
Date: Fri, May 27, 2005 12:57 pm
To: arbowlds@netkonnect.net

Thank you for your inquiry. In order that the SLD may provide you with a thorough and accurate response, we are currently researching your request. An answer will be provided to you as soon as we learn more.

If you have any further questions, please feel free to contact our Schools and Libraries Helpline at 1-888-203-8100. Please remember to visit our website for updates: http://www.sl.universalservice.org

Thank you, Schools and Libraries Division Universal Service Administrative Company ----Original Message----

From: arbowlds@netkonnect.net Subject: Initial Contact

[FirstName] = Anthony
[LastName] = Bowlds
[JobTitle] = Vice President
[EmailAddress] = arbowlds@netkonnect.net
[WorkPhone] = 2024392650
[FaxPhone] = 8005251853
[PreviousCaseNumber] = 0

[FormType] = Code 9 [Owner] = TCSB

[DateSubmitted]=5/27/2005 10:29:28 AM

[AttachmentFlag]=N[Question2]=Our SPIN number is 143024226. There seems to be favoritism being played inside USAC. They seem to be holding up or tuning down Washington DC Applicants and Services Providers in large numbers. This has been going on since year 2003-2004 and is now going through 2004-2005. If you check the FRN that were funded and not funded you will notice extreme differences. In the year 2003-2004 Washington DC had approximately 19% funded for the whole year.

As of this year 2004-2005.

5/27/2005

STATE	total FRN	not funded	funded	%funded
DC	326	213	113	34.66
IN	2255	865	1390	61.64
TX	9699	2525	174	73.96
CA	9322	2843	6479	69.50
LA	2537	593	1944	76.62

As you can see there is something going on inside USAC in regards to the Washington DC Applicants and Service Providers. This may be happening in other states. The question we have is why USAC is turning down so many Washington DC Applicants and Service Providers?

More proof that favoritism being played inside USAC.

The New School for Enterprise and Development PCS) File No. SLD-380695

Washington, DC)

USAC Reviewers turned down some service providers and approved others under the same entity for that year, for there own reason. Example- They turned down the school because they stated During application review, you were asked to demonstrate that when you filed your Form 471 you had secured access to the funds needed to pay your portion of the charges, and you were unable to do so on the other hand they approved the other service provider showing that the entity did have there portion of the charges. This has been going on for inside USAC the passed few years. This is the reason that we are appealing. They are intentionally trying to turn certain service providers regardless of rules. They approved other service providers ill respective of the rules.